

RESEARCH ADVISORY COUNCIL

GUIDELINES FOR MANUSCRIPT AUTHORSHIP

This one-page summary was taken from the “Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals: International Committee of Medical Journal Editors” which has recently been republished in the New England Journal of Medicine [336(4): 309-15, 1997 Jan 23], the Annals of Internal Medicine [126(1): 36-47, 1997 Jan 1] and the Canadian Medical Association Journal [156(2): 270-85, 1997 Jan 15] among others.

Authorship:

Authorship credit should be based only on substantial contributions to:

- a) Either the conception or design or else analysis and interpretation of data and to
- b) Drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content and on
- c) Final approval of the version to be published.

All three conditions must be met. Participation solely in the acquisition of funding or the collection of data does not justify authorship. General supervision of the research group is also not sufficient for authorship. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for it.

Acknowledgments:

The following are not considered as substantial contribution for authorship and therefore do not warrant authorship. However, they can be acknowledged. At an appropriate place in the article one or more statements should specify the following:

- a) Contributions that need acknowledging but do not justify authorship, such as general support by the department chair, providing samples and acquisition of funding;
- b) Acknowledgments of technical help;
- c) Acknowledgments of financial and material support, specifying the nature of the support; and
- d) Financial relationships that may pose a conflict of interest.